

Graphnet Population Health Management/Analysis Data Flows - 7.5 million individuals

There is no legal basis under CLoC for the disclosure or use of personal confidential information, for secondary purposes, outside of the care team No explicit permission of the individual, no legal obligation, not mandated under COPI 3(4)/COVID-19

Not overwhelmingly in the public interest ("life or death")

There is no approval under Regulation 5 of COPI 2002, by CAG, for any such disclosure (Class 6 support) "Implied consent" meets the CLoC for the disclosure to Graphnet if *for direct care purposes only*

There is no legal basis under CLoC for Graphnet to access, hold, and link personal confidential information for secondary purposes

No explicit permission of the individual, no legal obligation, not mandated under COPI 3(4)/COVID-19

Not overwhelmingly in the public interest ("life or death")

There is no approval under Regulation 5 of COPI 2002, by CAG, for any such processing (Class 4, 6 support)

3 There is no legal basis under CLoC for the disclosure and use of personal confidential information, for secondary purposes

This remains clearly identifiable, personal confidential information

No explicit permission of the individual, no legal obligation, not mandated under COPI 3(4)/COVID-19

Not overwhelmingly in the public interest ("life or death")

This is not a disclosure for direct care purposes

There is no approval under Regulation 5 of COPI 2002, by CAG, for any such disclosure (Class 6 support) That there might be a "data processing contract" does not in itself meet CLoC

There is no legal basis under CLoC to access, use, hold, link, and analyse personal confidential information for secondary purposes
No explicit permission of the individual, no legal obligation, not mandated under COPI 3(4)/COVID-19
Not overwhelmingly in the public interest ("life or death")

This is not processing for direct care purposes

There is no approval under Regulation 5 of COPI 2002, by CAG, for any such processing (Class 4, 5, 6 support)

5 There is no legal basis under CLoC to deidentify personal confidential information for secondary purposes

This is not processing for direct care purposes

Pseudonymised datasets consisting of very large amounts of linked medical information remain personal confidential information. There is no approval under Regulation 5 of COPI 2002, by CAG, for any such processing (Class 1 support)

6 Disclosure for direct care purposes at the end of a processing chain does not legitimise prior, unlawful, disclosure and processing

That is "layering", or data laundering

CAG 7-04 (a)/2013 provides authority for risk stratification for case finding. No other purposes are authorised – see p.2-3 of CAG minutes

And that does not authorise processing to take place within a LHCR/ICR/ShCR